Project review August 2019 by Artem Ruppert (907-451-5285-Alaska DOT M&O Engineering, Fairbanks)

USFWS Copper River Watershed Habitat Enhancement Project

CORDOVA EVOS SITES COP 43, 44, and 45

Coding: S-suggested change (consider implementing);

C-make a correction- firmly recommended (makes construction administration simpler/cheaper)

R-required (strongly recommended)

Sheet G-001 (See red-lined Sheet)

- 1. Suggest labeling Airport, all 3 culvert approximate Mileposts on Copper River Highway (S)
- 2. Add labels to show direction to Cordova and Copper River (S)
- 3. Suggest adding table listing Mileposts and other identifiers (S)
- 4. Clarifying description as noted (maybe move it to general notes to another sheet? (S)
- 5. Add several lines with data info such as length of grade raise, width of roadway, length of project, daily traffic volume (95-2015) (and anything else relevant to project summary (S)
- Will do
- 6. Sheet missing G-004 is missing in Drawing Index-delete or add (C) Sheet G-002 (See red-lined Sheet)
- 1. Item 201(1) by SY. Better to wrap it up into item 201(3B) Clearing and Grubbing by LS. One less thing to inspect in field-and it has been recent practice at DOT for smaller projects. Add table of lump sum estimated quantities on this sheet-and list approximate clearing square yardage at each site, not just total of 2940 SY (C). Section 201 Clearing is now subsidiary to item 602(2) Aluminum Box Culvert. List estimated area (acreage) that would need cleared so contactor can bid appropriately. Suggest include table on G-002 along with other estimated quantities.(or in supplemental info-say designer notebook-that is available to bidders).
- -Actually, quantity of this Item was included in the cost estimate and will be provided in the Bd Schedule that will be provided to the bidders.
- 2. Item 202(4) Removal of culvert pipe by LF. Cost to remove at each location may be different and it's one more item to inspect for construction personnel. Cleaner is deleting this pay item and making removal subsidiary (in specs and general/culvert notes) to individual culvert item itself at

3. Item 602(2)-recommend including thickness of aluminum pipe into name (e.g. 0.150 inches as was used on DOT Denali Hwy pipe at MP 131.5 similar-sized/type pipe in 2017). Also it would be

each location (see below-also recommending to create pay item for pipe at each location)- (C).

better to have a separate pay item for each location to make figuring out pay progress payments

easier as work progresses (and because I recommend to make excavation subsidiary to each

culvert item), as follows (e.g.):

602(2)-1 "Structural Plate Aluminum Box Culvert 16'-6" Span x 6'8" Rise W/solid invert, 0.150" thick at COP-43" by LF. Also have 602(2)-2 and 602(2)-3 for COP-44 & COP-45 respectively- (C).

4. Items 203(6)-Borrow and 301(3) E-1: in table show separate quantity for site COP43 and one combined for COP 44 &45 since they are next to each other and have continuous layer spanning both culverts. Also, if project is going to have scales at material site(s), it is efficient to use item 301(3), ASC Grading E-1 by Ton and avoid field calculations but track quantities on tickets inspector will take tickets in field-otherwise not clear how to measure (survey before and after?-unnecessary step)-(C).

- 5. Use item 301(3) Aggregate Surface Course, Grading E-1 (not base Course) (S).
- 6. Item 630(1) Geotextile, Separation by SY should be actually 630(100) Geotextile, Reinforcement, Type II. (?) or indicate where geotextile, separation is used-(C). 630(1) Geotextile Separation Clearing is now subsidiary to item 611(2) Riprap, Class II. List estimated area (square yard) that would need ordered so contactor can bid appropriately (overlap per specs does not need to be calculated). Suggest include table on G-002.
- -No Geotextile separation will be used., Geotextile Erosion control class 1 (631(2) is the one subsidiary to 611(2) and quantity of which is included in the estimate and to be provided in the Bid Schedule.
- 7. Add item 613(2) Culvert Marker, by each, 6 total (3 pipes @ inlet + outlet).-(S). OK, see if quantity needs to change to add 1 more for 36"x48" arch extension under item 603(2).
- Will add 1 culvert marker
- 8. Add item 642(3) Three Person survey party by Contingent Sum (CS) for unexpected survey needs to assist project engineer in field if/when necessary by directive (S). Decided not to include?
- Decided not to include but will be considered if that is the decision of the team.
- 9. Add pay item 640(4) Worker Meals and Lodging, or per diem by LS. (R) Not addressed?

- Decided not to include but will be considered if that is the decision of the team.
- 10. Add pay item 643(23) Traffic Price Adjustment by CS-to provide incentive to contractor to handle traffic in a manner acceptable and give tool to Project Engineer to manage traffic & safety (S). Not addressed? Normally item has \$0 amount and refers to 2017 specs 643-3.06 Traffic Price Adjustment and Table 643-3 Adjustment rates.
- Decided not to include but will be considered if that is the decision of the team.
- to get paid (and take responsibility to doing it in a safe manner). Unnecessary and time consuming task in field to measure volume of excavation. Suggest marking Unclassified

 Excavation subsidiary to each culvert item (in specs and General or Culvert notes). Add verbiage to culvert notes: "Culvert Trench excavation, backfill above embedment material, and compaction for culvert installation will not be measured for payment and is subsidiary to the corresponding individual 602(1) culvert item at each location". "S" done, ok. I recommend adding to General note 8&9 that all excavation is subsidiary to 602(2) Aluminum Box Culvert item and would not be measured for payment. (in addition to specifications).

11. Delete item 203(3) Excavation by CY-it encourages contractor to excavate more than necessary

- Excavation quantities were added in the cost estimate and will be provided in the Bid Schedule
- 12. Option to add pay item 204(107) Embedment Material-this material will be used for foundation and extend to above 1 ft above bottom of pipe. Add note "Width of bedding and backfill shall extend minimum 18' each side from the culvert outside diameter. For this work it may be easier to use Aggregate Base Course, Grading E-1 or Borrow-Selected Material, Type A (already existing item in project but won't be measured for payment here-indicated item Borrow Select Fill Material, Type F does not exist in Table 703-9 in referenced 2017 DOT standard specs 703-2.09 in provided Special provisions.-in Special provisions is noted I see —and takes an extra effort to screen and produce-is it worth it?-especially given tight timelines). I attach verbiage used in special provision for embedment material.-"C". ok,
- 13. Add verbiage "Re-use existing excavated embankment material meeting Selected Material, Type B (or C?) or better; any new imported material shall be Selected Material, Type A";- "C" ok I see reference to Type B
- 14. Delete items 204(1) Borrow Select Fill Type B and F,. Item 203(5) Borrow Select Fill Material,

Type A by CY shall be also deleted (also non-DOT standard item names). Instead recommend using item 203(6) Borrow by Ton (will point to use Selected Material, Type A per 703-2.07-1). Otherwise difficult to measure in field. "C" I see that Subbase, Grading F is retained for bedding. How is it paid for? Add a note if its subsidiary to 203(6) Borrow. Note somewhere (table) estimated quantity.

- Quantity of this item is included in the cost estimate and will be provided in the Bid Schedule
- 15. Estimate of Quantities (EOQ) shall have rows containing item name AND ONLY ONE quantity given. Thus, quantity distribution of pay items shall be given in a separate table elsewhere (this sheet or others) and sum of these shall total those listed in EOQ-"S" ok
- 16. Several suggestions to change general notes (see attachment redlines). Wrong project name in title box-"S" ok
- 17. Recommend adding verbiage in General notes "Any dewatering for culvert installation is subsidiary to 672(1) Stream Diversion & Dewatering" pay item. It shall comply with the State of Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC)-required permit that contractor is required to obtain". Or adding to specifications "General Requirements" "C". Did not see where this may be addressed specifically, not needed?
- -Dewatering is part of Item 672(1), and has note on C-300 -C-302 that the diversion and Dewatering plan should be submitted to the Engineer for review 10 days prior to starting construction, so it might not necessary to add such verbiage.
- 18. Consider adding pay item 646(1) CPM scheduling if concerned with timely completion of permits and construction administration. Normally it does not add much additional cost and contractor is doing it anyway –"S". ok no to have
- 19. DOT recommends to have SWPPP specifications and following pay items added due to environmental sensitivity of work locations (even if area is less than 1 acre): "R"
- -641(1) Erosion, Sediment and Pollution Control (by Lump Sum)
- -641(3) Temporary Erosion, Sediment and Pollution Control (by Lump Sum)
- -641(4) Temporary Erosion, Sediment and Pollution Control Additives (by Contingent Sum)
- -641(5) Temporary Erosion, Sediment and Pollution Control by Directive (by Contingent Sum)
- -641(6) Withholding (by Contingent Sum)

- -Decided not to include but will be considered if that is the decision of the team.
- -641(7) SWPPP Manager (by Lump Sum) Items 641(4), 641(5) and 641(5) are needed as 641 refer to them and allows payment for work that is not possible anticipate at bid time.
- -Decided not to include but will be considered if that the decision of the team.
- 20. Estimate of quantity note 5 Section 602 topsoil made subsidiary to seeding item 618(1). Again note somewhere estimated quantity of Topsoil (in cy) so contractor can bid on it.
- Seeding Item 618(1) quantity was added in the cost estimate and will be provided in the Bid Schedule
- 21. Note 12C: Add that this work is subsidiary to 602(2) pay items. "Approved by .." is misspelled.
- -We'll add and correct the spelling.
- 22. Why items 690(1)-1 Stream/Weir Substrate-Fine Materials and 690(1)-2 Stream/Weir Substrate Coarse Materials have varied quantities at each identical pipe, they seem to be defined by same geometry on C-101. Because of differing shapes for substrate outside of culverts?
- Different because of differing shapes for substrate outside of culverts.

Sheet G-003 (See red-lined Sheet)

1. Backfill Cross Section: No reason to use clean gravel for silt fence support. Could be any material-"S". done, ok

Sheet V-100, V-101 and V-102 (See red-lined Sheet)

- 1. Wrong Title for project- "R" ok fixed
- 2. Unclear why these sheets are included into plan set. If included into plan set, use "NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION" STAMP. Otherwise it can be included into Supplemental material-"S". ok marked Existing condition in plans and placed at end of plan set

Sheet C-100 (See also red-lined Sheet)

- 1. Suggest including culvert skew values information (if any) at each pipe location-"S". ok, not done-skew shown on C-200 to c-202.
- 2. Would be helpful to show value cover over pipe as drawn with proposed grade raises-"S". ok done
- 3. Recommend listing quantities (E-1, borrow) separately over Grade Raise Area 1 (COP 43) and Grade Raise Area 2 (COP 44 & 45) somewhere. List length of these areas on this sheet or elsewhere-"S". ok, areas combined, quantity for Borrow & E-1 liosted in EOQ on Sheet G-002.

- 4. Why can't we have the most cover/highest curve point directly over the pipe? If cover for HL-93 is 1.4 to 4 ft, we'd like to have at least 2.5 ft over pipe at Centerline. At hinge points we'd like to have more than minimum 1.4 ft cover. Grading and erosion can further reduce gravel cover "S". ok done
- 5. We are concerned that currently 584-ft long section with 3-curves (VC) over COP 43 from 1+72 to 7+56 may not be long enough and routine grading activities might reduce cover over time causing potential pipe damage. Recommend lengthen E-1 cover placement to 800', say from 0+00 to 8+00. "S". That would increase K-values that seems low now and make grade raise more gradual. To be verified with Cordova M&O (Robbie Matson). Ok, addressed 6. We are concerned that currently 679-ft long section with 4-curves (VC) over COP 44 and COP 45 from 11+99 to 18+78 may not be long enough and grading activities might reduce cover causing potential pipe damage. Recommend lengthen E-1 cover placement to 1000', say from sta. 10+00 to 20+00. "C". That would increase K-values that seems low now and make grade raise more gradual. To be verified with Cordova M&O (Robbie Matson). ok addressed.
- 7. Is this road interval in normal crown section or it is superelevated in sections? No superelevation.
- 8. Add Distance to The Airport (on left) and Copper River (on right)-"S". ok done
- 9. Note 1: recommend adding new culvert marker post to pipe extension under 613(2)
- We'll add

Sheet C-101 (See also red-lined Sheet)

- 1. Roadway note 1-rephrase to read "Road Grades and alignments, as shown on plans, may be subject to minor revisions as/when directed by the Engineer" "S" ok, done, Roadway Note 1
- 2. Typical culvert section "existing embankment" is better; Spell out full official item name to match EOQ list –"S". ok done
- 3. Typical Culvert Section-see redlines-show details (slopes) for substrate inside pipe, ok most addressed except height of stream substrate material inside pipe not given. Shall it at least refer to drawings C-500 to C-502 Stream Sections?
- We'll add height of stream substrate.
- 4. Add/modify several labels as suggested-"S". ok, done

- 5. DOT had used requirement for min 2:1 excavation slope; 1:1 slope may not be safe and mot meet OSHA requirements. However 1:1 excavation slopes may be Ok for relatively shallow digouts. Ok leave it up to contractor (culvert note 2).
- 6. Add note to point out reinforcing rib and haunch-"S". ok done
- 7. All material layer name revisions are as described/proposed for Sheet G-002 (EOQ). Done.
- 8. Suggest Note for "stream Substrate Material, See table 1&2" add reference to culvert notes3-5.
- -We'll do.
- 9. Label for Excavation Line, See Note 2. As it applies to left side of pipe suggest instead say "Excavation Line (TYP) VARIES, see note 2"
- -We'll do.
- 10. Half-circle shape of low flow channel may not be easily constructable for all length of pipe.
 And will be hard to inspect conformance. Would it be acceptable to replace it or approximate it with defined slopes?
- To be discussed in the meeting.
- 11. Typical road X-section should have a note saying that shown 32 ft. road width at culverts is wider at 35.8 ft. Define how transition is accomplished or/and refer to C-200-C-202 Sheets.

Why embankment could not be kept 32 ft. everywhere (please clarify to DOT)

-Transition is shown on the plan, culvert section will be wider so that the foreslope will delight on the culvert end.

Sheet C-200 to C-202 (See also red-lined Sheet)

- 1. In table: list plate thickness-suggest using 0.150 inches; for reinforcing rib-use "per manufacturer recommendations" –"S" ok done
- 2. May want to add any culvert notes, list skew and H&H Hydro data etc.; Show arrow to indicate direction of flow. It should be clear at a glance what flow direction is-"S". ok done mostly
- What H&H Hydro data would you want to be added?
- 3. Legend: make linetype solid for new culvert (DOT convention) while existing culvert is dash line-"S". ok done
- 4. Elevation labels are grayed out, make them black again to be visible.

-We'll do.

- 5. Unclear what dashed line is? Road Edge- Add to legend. Some extra lines visible now would disappear on production-level drawings?
- Dashed lines are the existing grade contours and was labeled. Road edge, we'll add to legend. Sheet C-400 to C-402(See also red-lined Sheet)
- 1. Unclear what thickness of riprap needs to be at collar & weir. 2ft? "R" ok done.
- 2. Adjust labels for Bedding type of material (suggested above to use Aggregate Surface Course, grading E-1"- "S" ok done
- 3. Not clear what VAP concept is (Vertical Adjustment Potential). Maybe clarify significance of it in Notes?-"S" ok, removed
- 4. Recommend noting cover above culvert at road centerline & elevation of culvert at C/L on approved profile- "C" ok done
- 5. At both RT & LT hinge points (16' from road Centerline) indicate thickness of cover over top of culvert. We do not want it to be minimum value (1.4 ft) there, recommend getting it closer to 2', "C". ok shown 2 ft+
- Other suggestions as noted-"S". Submitted acceptable letter regarding roadside basis of design, TBD.
- -Justification letter submitted to ADOT&PF, Dan Adamczak.

Sheet C-500

1. Section B: better label is "Embankment fill" (than road fill)-"S" Not done, ok Plans (See Redlines in attached PDF).

Specifications (SEE REDLINES "S" in attached PD